

News piece title

The importance of open access software in the analysis of bone histology in biological anthropology

Running title

Bone histology analysis online

Author

Justyna J. Miskiewicz

Affiliation

School of Archaeology and Anthropology, Australian National University, 44 Linnaeus Way, Canberra 2601, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

+61 02 61259295

Justyna.Miskiewicz@anu.edu.au

ORCID: 0000-0002-9769-2706

FOR Review Only

The coronavirus (hCoV) pandemic has had an unprecedented impact on halting research progress around the globe. With laboratories shut down and fieldwork sites out of bounds until further notice, many biological anthropologists have found themselves working remotely. Bone histology, the study of bone tissue microstructure, relies on access to a laboratory for thin section preparation. Histologists who study research questions of anthropological significance¹ have had to shift to the 'second' major phase of sample examination – histology image analysis. Several research groups around the world routinely use the open access ImageJ 1.x², ImageJ2³, or Fiji^{4,5} software packages which allow to collect a range of quantitative and qualitative data. Researchers have used this software tools to identify sample regions of interest through the ImageJ overlay function⁶, collect counts of secondary osteons, Haversian canals, and osteocyte lacunae⁷, or measure the area, length, or perimeter of bone microstructures^{8,9}. However, bone histological analysis is not yet routinely included in fossil or more recent anthropological examination toolkits. This is partly due to its invasive nature, but also because of limited training. As part of a project funded by the Australian Research Council, a recent online workshop series introducing early career researchers to bone histology image analysis was conducted. Opened to prospective participants globally, capacity of 300 was reached within five days of advertisement. Participants from 40 different countries in North and South America, Europe, Asia-Pacific, the Middle East, and Africa signed up to study and practise analysing bone histology with application to fossil, archaeological, and forensic bone samples.

Workshop series

The workshop, conducted online from the Australian National University in Canberra, consisted of six sessions that ran between 17 May and 24 June 2020. Each week focused on a different topic (Figure 1). Each participant was given access to sample images of human bone through a dedicated workshop website, so that they could practise the weekly demonstrations in their own time and at their own pace. The workshop sessions were short and concise (30 minutes each week) on the pedagogical basis that short-term learning may lead to long-term recall¹⁰, and facilitate “Self-Regulation”¹¹ in learning strategies which rely on time and space flexibility. This learning style seems to be particularly important during the current hCoV pandemic restrictions.

Participants in each session were briefly introduced to the core concept behind the interpretative value of bone histology data, which was followed by a short technical demonstration of how to undertake measurements in ImageJ v. 15.2 (Figure 1). Week 1 introduced the software, and discussed differentiating young and adult human cortical bone matrices, with the goal of demonstrating how such analyses can aid in the reconstruction of a chronological age of an individual¹². In Week 2, estimates of secondary osteon densities and their relationship to bone remodelling were discussed with the aim to highlight their utility in investigating behaviour and diet^{13,14}. Week 3 included measuring the area of Haversian canals and secondary osteons revealing how these measures can be used to infer the rate at which a bone multi-cellular unit remodels bone¹⁵. In Week 4, osteocyte lacunae and their canaliculi were evaluated, demonstrating that they can be used to understand bone remodelling, function, and disease¹⁶. The Week 5 session wrapped up the series by discussing collagen fibre orientation within individual secondary osteon lamellae to illustrate how osteon ‘morphotypes’ can give insights into tensile or compressive properties of bone¹⁷. The final session was an open forum where participants at different career stages discussed their current or future projects. A range of levels, from masters to post-doctoral programs, were represented. Applications that included reconstructing life histories from fossil bone or health and disease in Holocene human populations were apparent. Those who work in industry or professional settings (e.g. forensic analyses centres) also benefited from the discussion.

Importance of open access software

The series could not have been delivered had the ImageJ/Fiji software not been available for free download and use. The only logistical requirement necessary to deliver the workshop series successfully was that the participants had access to a laptop/ PC with an internet connection. The hundreds of participants from around the world connected online, including departments with limited infrastructure and countries where biological anthropology is not a part of the university curriculum. The open access movement has long now promoted its practice to support the breaking down of geographical and institutional boundaries in generating research¹⁸. Van Krogh and Spaeth¹⁸ (p. 236) identified that the “open source software phenomenon”, amongst other benefits, has a positive impact

on society through innovation. Indeed, several participants in the workshop series expressed their interest in incorporating histological analysis into their research program. This, ultimately, will lead to the creation of new knowledge in the future. The hCoV pandemic restrictions have certainly highlighted that the open access practice is now more important than ever. Although anthropologists have been locked out of laboratories around the world, microscopic analysis can continue collaboratively as we connect through free online platforms.

Funding acknowledgment

Miszkievicz receives funding from the Australian Research Council (ARC) under grant DE190100068.

Data availability statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Figure caption

Figure 1. A combination of screenshots from the online workshop sessions 1-5. In Week 1 participants practised how to differentiate younger from older bone (A). In Week 2 participants estimated secondary osteon densities (red dots in B). Week 3 focused on measuring the area of secondary osteons and Haversian canals (C). Week 4 centred on osteocyte lacunae and canaliculi (red dots in D). In Week 5, secondary osteon ‘morphotypes’ showing different collagen orientation patterns were studied (E: alternating dark and bright, and F: bright).

References

1. Crowder C, Stout S. 2011. *Bone Histology: An Anthropological Perspective*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
2. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**: 671-675.

3. Rueden CT, Schindelin J, Hiner MC, DeZonia BE, Walter AE, Arena ET, Eliceiri KW. 2017. ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of scientific image data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18**: 529.
4. Doube M, Klosowski MM, Arganda-Carreras I, Cordelières FP, Dougherty RP, Jackson JS, Schmid B, Hutchinson JR, Shefelbine SJ. 2010. BoneJ: free and extensible bone image analysis in ImageJ. BoneJ: free and extensible bone image analysis in ImageJ. *Bone* **47**: 1076-1079.
5. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**(7): 676-682.
6. Dominguez VM, Agnew AM. 2019. The use of ROI overlays and a semi-automated method for measuring cortical area in ImageJ for histological analysis. *Am J Phys Anthropol* **168**: 378-382.
7. Goliath JR, Stewart MC, Stout SD. 2016. Variation in osteon histomorphometrics and their impact on age-at-death estimation in older individuals. *Forensic Sci Int* **262**: 282-e1.
8. Shahar R, Lukas C, Papo S, Dunlop JW, Weinkamer R. 2011. Characterization of the spatial arrangement of secondary osteons in the diaphysis of equine and canine long bones. *Anat Rec* **294**: 1093-1102.
9. Miskiewicz JJ, Mahoney P. 2017. Human bone and dental histology in an archaeological context. In: Thompson T, Erricksen D (Eds) *Imaging Human Remains: Another Dimension*. Elsevier: Academic Press pp. 29-43.
10. Joiner WM, Smith MA. 2008. Long-term retention explained by a model of short-term learning in the adaptive control of reaching. *J Neurophysiol* **100**: 2948-2955.
11. Weinstein CE, Acee TW, Jung J. 2011. Self-regulation and learning strategies. *New Dir Teach Learn* **126**: 45-53.
12. Abbott S, Trinkaus E, Burr DB. 1996. Dynamic bone remodeling in later Pleistocene fossil hominids. *Am J Phys Anthropol* **99**: 585-601.

13. Paine RR, Brenton BP. 2006. Dietary health does affect histological age assessment: an evaluation of the Stout and Paine (1992) age estimation equation using secondary osteons from the rib. *J Forens Sci* **51**: 489-492.
14. Miszkiewicz JJ, Mahoney P. 2016. Ancient human bone microstructure in medieval England: comparisons between two socio-economic groups. *Anat Rec* **299**: 42-59.
15. Havill LM. 2003. Osteon remodeling dynamics in the Cayo Santiago *Macaca mulatta*: the effect of matriline. *Am J Phys Anthropol* **121**: 354-360.
16. van Hove RP, Nolte PA, Vatsa A, Semeins CM., Salmon PL, Smit TH, Klein-Nulend J. 2009. Osteocyte morphology in human tibiae of different bone pathologies with different bone mineral density—is there a role for mechanosensing?. *Bone* **45**: 321-329.
17. Skedros JG, Kiser CJ, Keenan KE, Thomas SC. 2011. Analysis of osteon morphotype scoring schemes for interpreting load history: evaluation in the chimpanzee femur. *J Anat* **218**: 480-499.
18. Von Krogh G, Spaeth S.(2007. The open source software phenomenon: Characteristics that promote research. *J Strateg Inf Syst* **16**, 236-253.

